UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Massachusetts

If the n	ames of al write "see	Plaintiff(s) Plaintiff(s) me of each plaintiff who is filing this complain Il the plaintiffs cannot fit in the space above, attached" in the space and attach an addition I list of names.)) Jury Mai: (check one) [] 1 es [] NO
	=	rpshire Family Court	
Wi,	llour	n A.D'Errico	
Defendant(s) (Write the full name of each defendant who is being sued. If the names of all the defendants cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)			e)
		COMPLAI	NT FOR A CIVIL CASE
I.	The P	Parties to This Complaint	
	A.	The Plaintiff(s)	
		Provide the information below for eneeded. Name Street Address City and County State and Zip Code	Andrea Brooks Should County Road Whichenden Wordster County

B. The Defendant(s)

Provide the information below for each defendant named in the complaint, whether the defendant is an individual, a government agency, an organization, or a corporation. For an individual defendant, include the person's job or title (if known). Attach additional pages if needed.

Pro Se 1 (Rev. 09/16) Complaint for a Civil Case Defendant No. 1 Name Job or Title (if known) Street Address City and County State and Zip Code Telephone Number E-mail Address (if known) Defendant No. 2 Name Job or Title (if known) Street Address City and County State and Zip Code Telephone Number E-mail Address (if known) Defendant No. 3 Name Job or Title (if known) Street Address City and County State and Zip Code Telephone Number E-mail Address (if known) Defendant No. 4 Name Job or Title (if known) Street Address City and County

State and Zip Code Telephone Number

E-mail Address (if known)

an i a calific empregamento acassaminencia i control di principa di publica del empregada del progenia del pre	manus and the construction of the construction
	Descaring No. 1
Leading Survey Court of Lucium.	
Meritonack - (Judge Wichael Ray)	free man is official dos
Decor a to 1 off cooks of 4	erobba nereči
The state of the s	C.yand Carry
Muss foriosistiff Secretion 2001.	stin,) ĝiŝ is la meta
	toriant foregath to by the first of
	twomen's commission for a -I
	Copyright No. 1
William Albert DErred Ar	ing the state of t
the desired in the factor of the second of t	territoria Novalla (T. St.) Co. L. (
129 Makino Consol 2012	્રાામાં કેઈલ કોનું છે.
MENOREMENT LOCATION HISTORY	(ity and Gorang
Profession English Cell	sind of the small
	. wimulii quadiysta i
a ya kana ya makana a kana makana na kata kata kata kata kata kata k	special in 2000 Marci-R
	Octobridae
	odkovania programa p Programa programa pr
pilonomia. Para kana kana kana kana kana kana kana k	્રિક્સનાડિક જોતે : 30 દેશી
and the second s	्रहरा जिल्हा स्टब्स् इंटर्स जिल्हा स्टब्स्
i di Mangalangan kang di Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabup Kabupatèn Kabupatèn	gma Olema gO
	Shall proportion
	cellaria en origi na)
المرابع المُكَافِرين المعادل المعادل في المعادل في المعادل المعادلة المعادلة المعادل المعادلة المعادلة المعادل والمعادلة المعادلة ا	gantally wordship firmed
المنظمية والمنظمين العالم عد طبي عظم أنه الدوائي المنظمية المنظمية المنظمية المنظمية المنظمية المنظمية المنظمي المنظم المنظمية المن	1294 (Maria)
	- A off tabledat.
	TONE HAMMAN
	tanonaga oki fisio dei
	सामाना साथ अन्य कार सम्मार्के स्टब्स्स
	Ony and County
	Series of Pipe ode
	and topic to one.
	and the state of t

Pro Se 1	(Rev. 09/16)) Complaint for	a Civil Case

II. Basis for Jurisdiction

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: cases involving a federal question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising under the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one State sues a citizen of another State or nation and the amount at stake is more than \$75,000 is a diversity of citizenship case. In a diversity of citizenship case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State as any plaintiff.

What	is the b	asis for f	ederal court jurisdiction	1? (check all that apply)	
	Fed	eral ques	tion	Diversity of citizenship	
Fill c	out the pa	aragraph	s in this section that app	oly to this case.	
A.	If the	Basis f	or Jurisdiction Is a Fe	deral Question	
		•	ic federal statutes, fede this case.	eral treaties, and/or provisions of th	ne United States Constitution that
		see	attache	d	
В.	If the	e Basis f	or Jurisdiction Is Dive	ersity of Citizenship	
	1.	The I	Plaintiff(s)		
		a.	If the plaintiff is an i	individual	
			The plaintiff, (name)		, is a citizen of the
		b.	If the plaintiff is a co	orporation	
			The plaintiff, (name)		, is incorporated
			under the laws of the		
			and has its principal	place of business in the State of (n	name)
			ore than one plaintiff is information for each a	named in the complaint, attach and ditional plaintiff.)	n additional page providing the
	2.	The 1	Defendant(s)		
		a.	If the defendant is a	n individual	
			The defendant, (nam	ne)	, is a citizen of
			the State of (name)		. Or is a citizen of
			(foreign nation)	•	

Pro Se 1 (Rev. 09/16) Complaint for a Civil Case b. If the defendant is a corporation , is incorporated under The defendant, (name) the laws of the State of (name) , and has its principal place of business in the State of (name) Or is incorporated under the laws of (foreign nation) and has its principal place of business in (name) (If more than one defendant is named in the complaint, attach an additional page providing the same information for each additional defendant.) 3. The Amount in Controversy The amount in controversy-the amount the plaintiff claims the defendant owes or the amount at stake-is more than \$75,000, not counting interest and costs of court, because (explain): Ш. **Statement of Claim** Write a short and plain statement of the claim. Do not make legal arguments. State as briefly as possible the facts showing that each plaintiff is entitled to the damages or other relief sought. State how each defendant was involved and what each defendant did that caused the plaintiff harm or violated the plaintiff's rights, including

the dates and places of that involvement or conduct. If more than one claim is asserted, number each claim and write a short and plain statement of each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional pages if needed.

IV. Relief

State briefly and precisely what damages or other relief the plaintiff asks the court to order. Do not make legal arguments. Include any basis for claiming that the wrongs alleged are continuing at the present time. Include the amounts of any actual damages claimed for the acts alleged and the basis for these amounts. Include any punitive or exemplary damages claimed, the amounts, and the reasons you claim you are entitled to actual or punitive money damages.

Pro Se	l (Rev. 09/1	6) Complaint for a Civil Case
		See attached
v.	Certi	fication and Closing
	and be unnec nonfri evider oppor	r Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, elief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an improper purpose, such as to harass, cause essary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a ivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have native support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable tunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the rements of Rule 11.
	A.	For Parties Without an Attorney
		I agree to provide the Clerk's Office with any changes to my address where case-related papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.
		Date of signing: April 24, 2017
		Signature of Plaintiff Printed Name of Plaintiff And Page Proof (Pco. (e))
	В.	For Attorneys
		•

Date of signing:

Bar Number

Street Address

Signature of Attorney
Printed Name of Attorney

Name of Law Firm

State and Zip Code Telephone Number E-mail Address

I. The Parties to This Complaint

A. The PLAINTIFF

Andrea Brooks

96 Old County Road

Winchendon Worcester county

Massachusetts 01475

617-581-2571

B. The Defendants

Defendant Number 1

New Hampshire Family Court 9th Circuit Merrimack (Judge Michael Ryan)

4 Baboosic Lake Road

Merrimack Hillsborough county

New Hampshire 03054

Defendant Number 2

William Albert D'Errico Jr.

13 Mobile Coach Lane

Mont Vernon Hillsborough county

New Hampshire 03057

603-533-1952

II. Basis for Jurisdiction - Federal question

A. If the Basis for Jurisdiction is Federal Question List the Specific federal statutes, federal treaties, and/or provisions of the United States Constitution that are at issue in this case.

28 USC 1443 Civil rights cases

42 USC 1983 Civil action for deprivation of rights

455 US 745 Santosky v. Kramer - The Supreme Court clarified that all federal courts have subject matter jurisdiction over the constitutionality of state custody actions

28 USC 1331 Federal Question

14th Amendment to the US Constitution - Due Process
Civil Rights Act of 1964 - PLAINTIFF is a member of a Protected Class

III.

Statement of Claim

- In 2013 PLAINTIFF and CO-DEFENDANT (D'ERRICO) agreed to co-parent their minor child by verbal agreement outside of Middlesex Family Court
- 2. In 2015 CO-DEFENDANT (D'ERRICO) began to limit PLAINTIFF's parenting time
- In 2015 PLAINTIFF sought relief at Family Court in New Hampshire but PLAINTIFF was denied financial assistance and could not afford the exorbitant filing fee.
- 4. February 6, 2017 PLAINTIFF filed a Petition to Change Court Order in New Hampshire Family Court
- In return, CO-DEFENDANT (D'ERRICO) Filed a Petition to Terminate Parental Rights on February 10, 2017
- March 1, 2017 PLAINTIFF filed a Motion for Expedited Hearing (regarding Parenting Time)
- 7. March 1, 2017 PLAINTIFF also filed a Motion for Contempt
- 8. March 14, 2017 Judge Michael J. Ryan GRANTED the motion for Expedited Hearing but only scheduled a hearing on contempt
- 9. Contempt Hearing was scheduled for April 4, 2017 3:00PM
- 10. An Expedited Hearing for Parenting Time was not scheduled
- 11. PLAINTIFF was not treated fairly by JUDGE RYAN during the April 4, 2017 hearing.
- 12.At the April 4, 2017 hearing JUDGE RYAN said he would mail temporary orders for Parenting Time he did not
- 13.April 4, 2017 PLAINTIFF filed a motion for Change of Venue citing bias during the hearing

- 14. JUDGE RYAN cancelled the Structuring Conference that was originally scheduled for May 5, 2017 8:30AM
- 15. Rules of the Circuit Court of the State of New Hampshire--Probate Division--Rule 62 Structuring Conferences and Pretrial Procedures--The Court SHALL schedule a structuring conference for each contested case entered on the docket.
- 16. JUDGE RYAN scheduled a Final Hearing for May 5, 2017 9:00AM
- 17. April 7, 2017 PLAINTIFF requested the audio transcript of the April 4, 2017 Hearing (Receipt No. 00760-2017-457)
- 18. PLAINTIFF became privy to EX PARTE communication between JUDGE RYAN and Cynthia P. Gilman (attorney for CO-DEFENDANT D'ERRICO) recorded after the April 4, 2017 Hearing.
- 19. JUDGE RYAN told PLAINTIFF the Hearing was over not allowing PLAINTIFF to speak.
- 20. PLAINTIFF then asked the bailiff if there was enough time to file a motion. Bailiff said court closed at 4:00.
- 21.PLAINTIFF exited the courtroom and Attorney Gilman asked JUDGE RYAN a question which was answered by JUDGE RYAN.
- 22. PLAINTIFF was not notified by CO-DEFENDANT (NH FAMILY COURT) of the EX PARTE communication
- 23. April 18, 2017 PLAINTIFF filed a Pretrial Statement (8 pages 50 paragraphs) attesting to facts about the case
- 24. April 18, 2017 PLAINTIFF also filed a motion to Enforce my Pro Se Rights
- 25. April 19, 2017 JUDGE RYAN rescheduled the Final Hearing for May 31 2017 9:00 without mailing temporary orders for Parenting Time

- 26.CO-DEFENDANT (NH FAMILY COURT) violated PLAINTIFF's right to Due Process under the 14 Amendment to the US Constitution by cancelling the structuring conference and failing to issue temporary orders regarding Parenting Time
- 27.PLAINTIFF is a member of a protected class and as such
 PLAINTIFF is protected from racial discrimination under the Civil
 Right Act of 1964

IV. Relief

- PLAINTIFF seek Removal of New Hampshire Case
 657-2016-DM-00291 to federal court (originally Massachusetts case 10W1723 Middlesex Family Court)
- 2. PLAINTIFF seeks Joint Legal Custody of minor child. W.A.D. date of birth July 20, 2010
 - a) Legal Custody gives PLAINTIFF the right to speak with child's teachers, medical professionals, access to records, etc.
- 3. PLAINTIFF seeks Joint Physical Custody of minor child W A D date of birth July 20, 2010
- 4. PLAINTIFF seeks Parenting Time as Follows:
 - a) DURING THE SCHOOL YEAR
 - (1) 1st, 3rd, and 5th Fridays from school pick-up to

 Monday school drop-off (Tuesday if holiday weekend)
 - (2) Mother's Day
 - (a) from 6pm the previous day
 - (3) Thanksgiving Vacation
 - (a) PLAINTIFF shall have Parenting Time during the even years including Thanksgiving Day
 - (i) Pick-up and Drop-off at child's school
 - (4) Christmas Vacation

BOAD ING FOR YOUR ROOM

edgis : RAFE (DALP) heristok (ERFELA) NIJAKA ERFE TVEACHEL 190FC 0.20

ें। निवह निवक्तकर ता वक्र आ**ड**ाई-Agas नक्तवर्ग के कि एक एके जिल्ला के अपने के कि

्राच्या प्रदानको स्थान संभूष्टो पुरामीस्थ पन्तु । जाः वास्त्रीकार व्यवस्थां प्रवास सह १० लिएन १० व

৯.ক^ল ফুটোলেক ই জুন্ম জনুক্ত প্ৰভ

disente de brie sesso best demi e funeda, un que 4 80 Mb (9.50

TWO als connections all although below men belockers. If the Perk, R

ABEL A LA MUA

A doff by

Gast California Lawrellia word in the word in which the first field in the

other unique of Michigho) have becaused of higher and another a

HOUSE WINDLES PARORENISA BELVE WITH OUSE

beautiful with the total first of the street of the street

CHARLES THE STATE OF THE COMMENTS

and a count Guesland, gards PLAME PROMER Hand to equal culture

digit a capit one, industry appropriate, a cossiste i vocativi

Provide Statement of the contract of the contract of the second statement

1998 Cover and to say the manufacture

reprofest on emit godinasiferiese Prifeta A. a

SAUD POSTORER DESPRES

os sue trig i<mark>scri</mark>os m**en**haristici integres ja trucci (co

Standard veinter if yeterett) respect frente yeterett

पूर्वरी हो जिल्ला है । विश्वपूर्व

ved and and entrance most or

relies et grivigskræft 75)

(a) PLANT I'm arati hace Parening Timo aud g

ra Cigale governo protestal sector all version

to the Parking and Department in child a vent of

and the West of the 190

- (a) PLAINTIFF shall have Parenting Time during the odd years including Christmas Day
 - (i) Pick-up and Drop-off at child's school
- (b) One day (not Christmas or Christmas Eve) midweek noon to 7pm with the other parent
 - (i) Pick-up and Drop-off at PLAINTIFF local library
- (5) February and April vacations
 - (a) PLAINTIFF shall have Parenting Time during said school vacations
 - (b) Wednesday noon to 7pm with the other parent
- b) DURING SUMMER VACATION
 - (1) Alternate Parenting Time every two weeks
 - (a) Sunday 3PM transition at the local library
 - (i) Parent starting their Parenting Time is responsible for driving to the local library of the other parent to meet for pick-up
- 5. PLAINTIFF seeks reimbursement of all court filing fees
 - a) \$252 Petition in New Hampshire Family Court
 - b) \$25 Request Audio Recording for April 4, 2017 Hearing
 - c) \$400 Petition in Federal Court
- 6. PLAINTIFF seeks Compensatory Damages of \$250,000 from New Hampshire Family Court for:
 - a) Violations of Right to Due Process
 - (1) Family Court neglected to issue temporary orders for Parenting Time
 - b) Mental Anguish and Suffering from Separation from my son

- (1) PLAINTIFF has no scheduled Parenting Time despite filing a Petition for Parenting Time in New Hampshire Family Court February 6, 2017
- c) Deprivation of Parenting Time
 - (1) Denied Financial Assistance in 2015 despite indigency (PLAINTIFF was on Mass Health insurance)
 - (2) PLAINTIFF's Parenting Time has been limited since 2015
- 7. PLAINTIFF seeks Compensatory Damages of \$250,000 from New Hampshire Family Court for:
 - a) Discrimination against a member of a Protected Class protected by the Civil Rights Act of 1964
 - b) Mental Anguish and Humiliation at being singled out for mistreatment

V. Certification and Closing

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information and belief that this complaint:

- (1) Is not being presented for an improper purpose such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation;
- (2) Is supported by existing law or by nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law;
- (3) The factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and
- (4) The complaint otherwise complies with Rule 11.

A. For Parties Without an Attorney

I agree to provide the Clerk's Office with any changes to my address where case-related papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Date of signing:

Signature of Plaintiff

Printed Name of Plaintiff